

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/712,824	BACALOGLU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Szekely	1714	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Abandoned

 (1) Peter Szekely.

(3) _____.

 (2) Michael Dilworth.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

None.

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Dilworth stated that they have not responded to the Office action because they have not received said Office action.
 Applicants will petition for revival of the instant application with proof of not receiving the Office action.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)